

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.           | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO.        |  |
|---------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 09/904,103                | 07/11/2001      | Walt Fant            | CALIP005/P050           | 1326                    |  |
| 22434 7                   | 7590 07/13/2004 |                      | EXAMINER                |                         |  |
| BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP |                 |                      | CURTIS, CRAIG           |                         |  |
| P.O. BOX 778<br>BERKELEY, | CA 94704-0778   |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER            |  |
| ŕ                         |                 |                      | 2872                    |                         |  |
|                           |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 07/13/2004 | DATE MAILED: 07/13/2004 |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| _   |  |   |           |
|---|--|---|-----------|
|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |           |
|   | 09/904,103   | FANT ET AL.   |           |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit  |           |
|   | Craig Curtis   | 2872  |           |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply   | appears on the cover sheet w   | rith the correspondence add   | dress     |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A | reply be timely filed inty (30) days will be considered timely NTHS from the mailing date of this considered timely BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |           |
| Status  |  |   |           |
| 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ T  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloclosed in accordance with the practice under   | This action is non-final.<br>wance except for formal ma  |   | merits is |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |   |           |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examplication The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and applicant may not request that any objection to represent drawing sheet(s) including the corestration.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the   | drawn from consideration.  ad/or election requirement.  accepted or b) □ objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawing                  | ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>g(s) is objected to. See 37 CF   |           |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |   |           |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a  | ents have been received. ents have been received in a<br>priority documents have been<br>reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | Application No n received in this National S  | Stage     |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB. Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | Paper No   | Summary (PTO-413)<br>(s)/Mail Date<br>Informal Patent Application (PTO<br>  | )-152)    |

Art Unit: 2872

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Disposition of the Instant Application

- This Office Action is responsive to Applicants' Amendment filed on 19 December 2003, which has been made of record in the file.
- By this Amendment, Applicants have amended claim 7.
- Claims 1-19 presently are pending in the instant application.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-5, 11, and 15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicants' Admitted Prior Art in view of Douklias (3,776,616).

With regard to claims 1 and 11, Applicants' Admitted Prior art discloses the invention as claimed--an optical switching apparatus and method (See Fig. 1 in Instant Application), comprising:

a plurality of optical input switches (116, 118, 120);

a plurality of optical output switches (136, 138, 140);

Art Unit: 2872

a plurality of central optical switches (108, 112) connected between the plurality of input

switches and plurality of output switches; and

a plurality of test light sources (i.e., the light sources that supply light to ingress fibers 124,

126, 128, etc.), where each test light source is connected to an optical input switch (See Fig. 1)--

**EXCEPT FOR** an explicit teaching wherein a first plurality of optical detectors is connected to said

optical output switches.

Douklias, however, teaches a first plurality of optical detectors (optical sensing means 7 in

Fig. 1) connected to optical output switches (optical switches 6: col. 3, ll. 11-15).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

made to have modified the invention disclosed in Applicants' Admitted Prior Art such that it further

comprise a first plurality of optical detectors connected to said optical output switches, as explicitly

taught by Douklais, for at least the purpose of achieving, in an efficient manner, an optical switching

apparatus and method that could alert either an observer or components associated with said

apparatus and method in the event of a malfunction in same.

With regard to claims 2-5 and 15-19, the combination teaches all of the claimed limitations in

a manner identical with that disclosed in said claims of the instant application (See above & cf. Fig. 1

(i.e., Applicants' Admitted Prior Art) with Fig. 4 of the instant invention).

Art Unit: 2872

2. Claims 6-10 & 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicants' Admitted Prior Art in view of Baker (4,913,509), as applied above to, inter alia,

claims 1 & 2, and further in view of Sato (JP 0279890 A) and Stone (5,771,320).

The combination discloses the invention as claimed **EXCEPT FOR** an explicit teaching wherein said optical switching apparatus and method further comprises a controller connected to each of said plurality of optical input switches, wherein said controller determines if one of the plurality of optical switches is malfunctioning, and wherein, with specific reference to claim 7, at least one of [the or said] plurality of central optical switches is an active optical switch and at least one of the plurality of central optical switches is an [read: a] protection optical switch which acts as a back up for the active optical switch, by simultaneously using input signals, which are not generated by the test light sources, to test the active optical switch and the test light sources to test the protection optical switch.

Sato, however, discloses a controller (24) connected to each of a plurality of optical input switches (See ABSTRACT, PROBLEM TO BE SOLVED, SOLUTION, and Figs. 1 & 3-5), wherein said controller determines if one of the plurality of optical switches is malfunctioning, and Stone discloses a teaching wherein at least one of a plurality of central optical switches (gratings 20, 25) is a protection optical switch that acts as a back up for said active optical switch, by simultaneously using input signals, which are not generated by the test light sources, to test the active optical switch and the test light sources to test the protection optical switch. See Fig. 1. It would have been obvious to

Art Unit: 2872

one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the combination such that it further comprise, as explicitly taught by Sato, a controller connected to each of said plurality of optical input switches, wherein said controller determines if one of the plurality of optical switches is malfunctioning, for at least the purpose of identifying, in an efficient manner, the occurrence of a fault (read: the malfunctioning of one or more optical switches) in said combination, as well as to have configured the optical switching apparatus of the combination such that at least one of a plurality of said central optical switches be a protection optical switch that acts as a back up for said active optical switch, by simultaneously using input signals, which are not generated by the test light sources, to test the active optical switch and the test light sources to test the protection optical switch, as taught by Stone, for at least the purpose of providing redundancy.

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicants' arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but have been rendered moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2872

## **Contact Information**

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Craig Curtis, whose telephone number is (571) 272-2311. The facsimile phone number for Art Unit 2872 is (703) 308-7721.

Any inquiry of a general nature regarding the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist, whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

C.H.C. Craig H. Custis Group Art Unit 8 July 2004 Audrey Chang
Primary Examiner
Technology Center 2800